Reactions of the dirhenium(III,III) complex cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂-Cl₄(H₂O)₂ that lead to the dirhenium(III,II) complexes Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PR₃)₂ and tetrarheniumcyclodiyne clusters of the type Re₄(μ -O)₄Cl₄(PR₃)₄

DALTON FULL PAPER

Jitendra K. Bera, Sophia S. Lau, Phillip E. Fanwick and Richard A. Walton*

Department of Chemistry, 1393 Brown Building, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1393, USA. E-mail: rawalton@purdue.edu

Received 25th July 2000, Accepted 26th September 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 6th November 2000

The reaction of cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ **1** with P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃ gives the tetranuclear complex Re₄(μ -O)₄Cl₄-[P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃]₄ **14** along with the salt [(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃PMe]₂Re₂Cl₈ **15**. Compound **14** is the first symmetrical, neutral, tetrarheniumcyclodiyne type cluster that contains phosphine ligands. Complexes of this same type with PPh₃ (**16**) and PMe₂Ph (**17**) have been prepared by alternative methods but have poor solubility properties. The paramagnetic complex Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PPh₃)₂ **2**, which is prepared from **1** by reaction with PPh₃, undergoes phosphine substitution reactions when treated with dichloromethane solutions of other phosphines. Simple non-redox reactions can occur upon reaction of **2** with monodentate and bridging bidentate phosphines to afford complexes of the types Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PR₃)₂ [PR₃ = PBz₃ **3**, P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃ **4** or PMePh₂ **5**] and *trans*-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(μ -PP)₂ [PP = dppm **9**, Ph₂PNHPPh₂ **10** or (Ph₂P)₂C=CH₂ **11**]. However, reactions that lead either to reduction to dirhenium(II) complexes or Re–Re bond cleavage are encountered in the case of the reactions of **2** with PMePh₂, PMe₂Ph, PCy₃ and dppe; these have led to the isolation of Re₂Cl₄(PMePh₂)₄ **6**, Re₂Cl₄(PMe₂Ph)₄ **7**, *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ **8**, α -Re₂Cl₄(dppe)₂ **12**, and *trans*-[ReO₂(dppe)₂]Cl **13**. The structures of compounds **3**, **8**, **13**, **14** and **15** have been determined by X-ray crystallography.

Introduction

Several types of behavior have been encountered in the reactions of the dirhenium(III) carboxylate complex cis-Re₂(µ- $O_2CMe)_2Cl_4(H_2O)_2^1$ with the tertiary phosphines PMe₃, PMe₂Ph, PMePh₂ and PPh₃ in primary alcohol solvents.^{2,3} The first three phosphines afford the two-electron reduced dirhenium(II) complexes of the type Re₂Cl₄(PR₃)₄, whereas PPh₃, which is the least basic of these phosphines, gives the dirhenium(IV,II) intramolecular disproportionation products $(RO)_2Cl_2ReReCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (R = Me, Et or Prⁿ).^{2,3} More recently, we have examined the reactions of cis-Re₂(µ-O₂CMe)₂ Cl₄(H₂O)₂ towards an extensive range of triaryl phosphines (PAr₃) in methanol and isolated further examples of complexes of the type $(MeO)_2Cl_2ReReCl_2(PAr_3)_2$ $[PAr_3 = P(C_6H_4Me-p)_3,$ $P(C_6H_4Me-m)_3$, $P(C_6H_4Cl-p)_3$ or $P(C_6H_4OMe-p]^3$ Variations in the nature of the phosphine have led in several instances to the formation of unexpected reaction products under these same reaction conditions. Specifically, Re2(µ-O2CMe)Cl3-(OMe)(PCyPh₂)₂ and Re₂(µ-O₂CMe)Cl₄(PBz₃)₂ are the predominant products when PCyPh2 and PBz3 are used, while $\text{Re}_4(\mu-O)_4\text{Cl}_4[P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3]_4$ is a major product in the reaction involving $P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3$.³ The paramagnetic complex $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(PBz_3)_2$ is similar to compounds of this type isolated previously with PPh₃ and PPh₂py.⁴

In the present report we address two important points raised by these earlier studies.²⁻⁴ First, we have examined the substitutional lability of the PPh₃ ligands in Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PPh₃)₂ as a possible strategy for accessing other derivatives of the paramagnetic [Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄] core. Second, since the tetranuclear complex Re₄(μ -O)₄Cl₄[P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃]₄ is the first example of a symmetrical tetrarhenium cyclodiyne type cluster containing phosphine ligands,⁵ we have examined means by which this novel cluster chemistry can be developed.

Experimental

Starting materials and general procedures

Standard literature procedures were used to prepare the complexes cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ 1^{2b} and Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄-(PPh₃)₂ 2.⁴ All phosphine ligands and common solvents were used as received from commercial sources. Reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry dinitrogen, and solvents were deoxygenated by purging with dinitrogen prior to use. IR spectra, NMR spectra and cyclic voltammetric measurements were determined as described previously.⁶ Elemental microanalyses were performed by Dr H. D. Lee of the Purdue University Microanalytical Laboratory.

Synthesis

Re₂(μ-O₂CMe)Cl₄(PBz₃)₂ 3. A mixture of Re₂(μ-O₂CMe)-Cl₄(PPh₃)₂ **2** (127 mg, 0.116 mmol) and P(CH₂Ph)₃ (87 mg, 0.286 mmol) was stirred in dichloromethane (15 mL) for 6 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave an oily residue which was treated with diethyl ether (*ca.* 10 mL) and the mixture stirred. A red solid was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL) and dried under a vacuum; yield 119 mg (87%). Calc. for C₄₄H₄₅Cl₄-O₂P₂Re₂: C, 44.71; H, 3.84. Found: C, 44.77; H, 3.88%.

X-Ray quality single crystals of 3 were obtained by the diffusion of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex.

Re₂(μ-O₂CMe)Cl₄[P(C₆H₄OMe-*p***)₃]₂ 4. A procedure similar to that described for 3 afforded the title complex when 130 mg (0.12 mmol) of 2 was reacted with 93 mg (0.26 mmol) of P(C₆H₄OMe-***p***)₃; yield 138 mg (90%). Calc. for C₄₄H₄₅Cl₄O₈-P₂Re₂: C, 41.35; H, 3.55. Found: C, 41.28; H, 3.47%.**

DOI: 10.1039/b005975g

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 4277–4284 4277

 $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PMePh}_2)_2$ 5 and $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{PMePh}_2)_4$ 6. A dichloromethane solution (15 mL) of 2 (100 mg, 0.09 mmol) was treated with 0.1 mL of PMePh₂ and the mixture stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The reaction solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL). The cyclic voltammogram showed the resulting solid (96 mg) to be a mixture of products, so it was extracted with benzene to leave an insoluble red paramagnetic solid (49 mg, 56%), identified as 5 by cyclic voltammetry (see Results and discussion), and a green benzene soluble product (44 mg, 37%) which was identified as 6 on the basis of its known spectroscopic and electrochemical properties.⁷

 $Re_2Cl_4(PMe_2Ph)_4$ 7. The same procedure that was used to prepare 5, along with $Re_2Cl_4(PMePh_2)_4$ 6, was carried out with PMe_2Ph in place of $PMePh_2$. The product was exclusively $Re_2Cl_4(PMe_2Ph)_4$ 7, which was identified based upon a comparison of its properties with those reported in the literature.^{7,8} Yield: 90%.

mer-trans-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ 8. The reaction between 2 (81 mg, 0.074 mmol) and 70 mg (0.25 mmol) of PCy₃ in 15 mL of dichloromethane was carried out for 8 h, the solvent removed under reduced pressure, and diethyl ether (10 mL) added to afford a green precipitate which was recrystallized from dichloromethane–diethyl ether; yield 85 mg (70%). Calc. for $C_{36}H_{66}Cl_3OP_2Re: C, 49.73$; H, 7.65. Found: C, 50.09; H, 7.67%.

Crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were grown by the slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex.

 $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\mu-dppm)_2$ 9. A mixture of 2 (100 mg, 0.091 mmol) and bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm) (85 mg, 0.22 mmol) was stirred in dichloromethane (15 mL) at room temperature for 8 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the oily residue treated with diethyl ether (10 mL) and stirred to afford 9 as a yellow solid; yield 116 mg (92%). The identity of this product was established by a comparison of its spectroscopic and electrochemical properties with literature data.⁹

 $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(\mu-dppa)_2$ 10. This complex was prepared by the reaction of 2 with Ph₂PNHPPh₂ (dppa) with the use of a procedure similar to that described above for its dppm analogue; yield 90%. The identity of 10 was based upon its spectroscopic and electrochemical properties.¹⁰

 $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(\mu-dppE)_2$ 11. A procedure similar to that described for 9 was used to prepare the title complex which contained the ligand (Ph_2P)_2C=CH_2 (dppE); yield 90%. Calc. for C₅₄H₄₇Cl ₄O₂P₄Re₂: C, 47.48; H, 3.47. Found: C, 47.21; H, 3.58%.

α-Re₂Cl₄(dppe)₂ 12 and *trans*-[ReO₂(dppe)₂]Cl 13. A procedure similar to that described for the preparation of 9, employing 130 mg (0.12 mmol) of 2 and 104 mg (0.26 mmol) of Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh₂ (dppe), afforded a green solid that was shown by cyclic voltammetry to be a mixture of products. The reaction residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and diethyl ether allowed to slowly diffuse into this solution; this gave a separable mixture of green and yellow crystals. The green product (103 mg) was shown to be α-Re₂Cl₄(dppe)₂ 12 on the basis of its spectroscopic and electrochemical properties,¹¹ while the yellow crystals (35 mg) were found to be *trans*-[ReO₂(dppe)₂]Cl 13 by a single crystal X-ray structure determination.

 $Re_4(\mu-O)_4Cl_4[P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3]_414$ and $[(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3PMe]_2-Re_2Cl_8 15$. A sample of $P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3$ (184 mg, 0.522 mmol) was heated in methanol (20 mL) until it had completely dissolved. A quantity of *cis*-Re_2(μ -O_2CMe)_2Cl_4(H_2O)_2 1 (113 mg,

0.169 mmol) was then added and the resulting mixture refluxed for 3 days. The crop of red crystalline **14** was filtered off, washed with a small volume of fresh methanol and diethyl ether and dried; yield 67 mg (48%). Calc. for $C_{86}H_{92}Cl_4O_{18}P_4Re_4$ (*i.e.* **14**·2MeOH): C, 42.61; H, 3.83; Cl, 5.85. Found: C, 41.38; H, 3.63; Cl, 6.35%. A suitable single crystal of composition **14**·2MeOH was selected from this batch for an X-ray structure analysis.

The filtrate from the above reaction was collected and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford an oily residue. The addition of diethyl ether (10 mL) gave a green solid which was filtered off, washed several times with this same solvent, redissolved in dichloromethane (3 mL) and diethyl ether allowed to diffuse slowly into this solution. After a period of two weeks, X-ray quality crystals of **15** were obtained: yield 32 mg (41%). Calc. for $C_{44}H_{48}Cl_8O_6P_2Re_2$: C, 38.00; H, 3.48. Found: C, 37.84; H, 3.42%. The identity of this product was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

An alternative synthesis of the tetrarhenium complex 14 involved the reaction between $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4[\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe}-p)_3]_2$ 4 (116 mg, 0.091 mmol) and LiOH·2H₂O (10 mg, 0.24 mmol) in refluxing methanol (20 mL) for 2 days. The red micro-crystalline product 14 was filtered off, washed with methanol (3 × 5 mL) and diethyl ether (2 × 5 mL) and dried under a vacuum; yield 28 mg (26%).

 $Re_4(\mu-O)_4Cl_4(PPh_3)_4$ 16. A procedure similar to the alternative synthesis of 14 described above was used. The reaction between $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(PPh_3)_2$ 2 (130 mg, 0.12 mmol) and LiOH·2H₂O (12 mg, 0.28 mmol) afforded a small quantity of 16; yield 21 mg (18%). Calc. for $C_{72}H_{60}Cl_4O_4P_4Re_4$: C, 43.24; H, 3.02. Found: C, 41.87; H, 3.47%.

 $\text{Re}_4(\mu-\text{O})_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4$ 17. A dichloromethane solution (15 mL) of 14 (60 mg, 0.025 mmol) was treated with 0.1 mL of PMe_2Ph and the mixture stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The orange solid was filtered off and washed with dichloromethane (2 × 5 mL); yield 29 mg (77%). The identity of 17 was based upon its electrochemical and IR spectral properties. A satisfactory microanalysis could not be obtained.

X-Ray crystallography

Crystals of the complexes $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PBz})_2$ 3, mertrans-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ 8, trans-[ReO₂(dppe)₂]Cl·3CH₂Cl₂ 13, Re₄(μ -O)₄Cl₄[P(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃]₄·2MeOH 14 and [(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃PMe]₂Re₂Cl₈ 15 were obtained as described in the appropriate sections detailing their syntheses.

The data were collected at 173 (\pm 1) K for the crystals of 3, 13 and 15, 193 (± 1) K for 8, and 296 (± 1) K for 14. All measurements were carried out on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$) Å). Crystal data and the relevant experimental details on data collection and refinement are given in Table 1. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied to the data sets. The structures of 3, 8, 13 and 15 were solved using the structure solution program PATTY in DIRDIF92¹² while the structure of 14 was solved by direct methods using SIR97.13 The remaining atoms were located in succeeding difference Fourier syntheses. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions according to idealized geometries with $U(H) = 1.3U_{eq}(C)$. An empirical absorption correction using SCALEPACK¹⁴ was applied in all cases except 3 and 14 for which DELABS in PLATON¹⁵ was used. The final refinements were performed by the use of the program SHELXL-97.¹⁶ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters with the exception of atom O(1) of 8.

In the crystal structure of **8**, the molecule sits on an inversion center and like its analogue *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PMe₃) $_2^{17}$ shows an orientational disorder involving the sets of *trans* O and Cl

	3	8	13	14	15
Formula	C44H45Cl4O2P2Re2	C ₃₆ H ₆₆ Cl ₃ OP ₂ Re	C55H54Cl7O2P4Re	C ₈₆ H ₉₂ Cl ₄ O ₁₈ P ₄ Re ₄	C44H48Cl8O6P2Re2
Formula weight	1182.01	869.43	1305.31	2424.19	1390.86
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)	$P2_{1}/c$ (no. 14)	$P2_{1}/c$ (no. 14)
aĺÅ	9.8942(5)	9.9534(5)	9.4968(3)	13.9995(7)	16.5256(3)
b/Å	13.5625(9)	10.2535(5)	12.9135(5)	23.5126(7)	18.6675(4)
c/Å	18.4975(12)	10.9010(5)	24.8886(10)	14.3633(7)	33.0129(4)
a/°	69.437(3)	114.311(3)	97.9692(19)	90.00	90.00
βl°	76.902(4)	107.608(3)	91.753(2)	114.1998(16)	100.5122(11)
y/°	69.586(3)	93.089(3)	110.195(2)	90.00	90.00
Ż	2	1	2	2	8
$V/Å^3$	2162.7(3)	945.76(19)	2826.8(4)	4312.4(6)	10013.3(6)
μ (Mo-K α)/mm ⁻¹	6.027	3.579	2.662	5.93	5.436
Reflections collected	17466	7186	19987	35082	75534
independent	9088	3917	11859	10854	15630
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	6436	3881	7006	7175	8019
No. of variables	489	201	625	550	1171
$R(F_{\alpha})^{a}$	0.041	0.043	0.061	0.062	0.053
$R_{\rm rr}(F_{\rm c}^2)^b$	0.099	0.114	0.123	0.104	0.122
GOF	0.998	1.102	1.090	1.138	0.946
^{<i>a</i>} $R = \Sigma F_{o} - F_{c} / \Sigma F_{o} $ wi	th $F_{\rm o}^{\ 2} > 2\sigma(F_{\rm o}^{\ 2})$. $^{b} R_{\rm w} = [\Sigma$	$\Sigma w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \Sigma w F_{o}^{2} ^{2}$] ^{1/2} .		

half atoms [i.e. O(1), Cl(1) and O(1)', Cl(1)']; these atoms are resolved in the refinement. In the refinement of 13, the asymmetric unit was found to contain two independent Re cations located at inversion centers each at 0.5 occupancy, and three full molecules of lattice CH₂Cl₂. During the course of the refinement of the tetrarhenium complex 14, the Re-Re units of the rectangular cluster were found to be disordered such that there are two incompletely occupied, approximately orthogonal sets, which to a first approximation share the same set of ligand atoms. The multiplicities of the major and minor forms are 0.949 and 0.051, respectively. This result is similar to that found during the solution of the structure of $(Bu_4^nN)_2[Re_4Cl_8(\mu-O)_2 (\mu\text{-}\check{OMe})_2]^{18}$ in which a disordered structure of this same type was encountered; in this instance the multiplicities were 0.96 and 0.04, respectively. Two molecules of methanol per tetrarhenium unit were found in the lattice. The refinement of the structure of 15 showed the presence of two pairs of independent cations and anions in the asymmetric unit. For each of the $[Re_2Cl_8]^{2-}$ anions, two sets of fractional Re–Re units were found to be present such that within each anion these units were perpendicular to one another and shared the same set of Cl ligands. These pairs refined satisfactorily to occupancies for Re(1A)/Re(2A) and Re(1B)/Re(2B) of 0.473 and 0.027, respectively, and for Re(3A)/Re(4A) and Re(3B)/Re(4B) of 0.432 and 0.068, respectively. This type of disorder is commonly encountered for dimetal complexes which contain a metalmetal multiple bond and an eclipsed M₂L₈ geometry.¹⁹

CCDC reference number 186/2199.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b0/b005975g/ for crystal-lographic files in .cif format.

Results and discussion

The products from the reactions of cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂Cl₄-(H₂O)₂ **1** with phosphines are both phosphine and solvent dependent. Thus, with PPh₃ in acetone the paramagnetic dirhenium(III,II) complex Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PPh₃)₂ **2** is produced ⁴ whereas in alcohol solvents (MeOH, EtOH or PrⁿOH) the quadruply bonded dirhenium(IV,II) alkoxides Re₂Cl₄(OR)₂-



 $(PPh_3)_2$ are formed.^{2,3} In the present study we have established that the coordinatively unsaturated complex 2 can be used as a convenient precursor to complexes of the types $Re_2(\mu-O_2-CMe)Cl_4(PR_3)_2$ (PR₃ = monodentate tertiary phosphine) and $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(PP)_2$ (PP = bridging bidentate phosphine). These phosphine substitution reactions proceed in high yield when carried out in dichloromethane at room temperature. The monodentate phosphines PBz₃ (Bz = CH₂Ph) and P(C₆H₄-OMe-*p*)₃ produced $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4(PBz_3)_2$ 3 and $Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4[P(C_6H_4OMe-$ *p* $)_3]_2$ 4, respectively [Scheme 1(a)].



Scheme 1 Products from the reactions of $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ with monodentate phosphines.

Interestingly, **3** had been reported previously as the product when cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ **1** is reacted with PBz₃ in refluxing methanol,³ while the use of methanol as the solvent in the reaction of **1** with P(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃ affords the tetranuclear complex **14** (*vide infra*). The properties of **3** isolated herein and those of samples reported previously are the same.³ A single crystal X-ray structure determination of **3** confirms its close



Fig. 1 ORTEP²⁰ representation of the structure of the dirhenium(III,II) complex $Re_2(\mu$ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PBz₃)₂ 3. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 2 Important bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for Re_2(μ -O₂-CMe)Cl₄(PBz₃)₂ 3^{*a*}

Re(1)-Re(2) Re(1)-O(1) Re(1)-Cl(11) Re(1)-Cl(12) Re(1)-P(1)	2.2166(4) 2.076(5) 2.3462(18) 2.3730(19) 2.428(2)	Re(2)–O(2) Re(2)–Cl(21) Re(2)–Cl(22) Re(2)–P(2)	2.106(5) 2.3508(18) 2.3666(19) 2.4036(19)	
O(1) - Re(1) - Re(2)	90.61(15)	O(2) - Re(2) - Re(1)	89.70(16)	
O(1)-Re(1)-Cl(11)	162.80(16)	O(2)-Re(2)-Cl(21)	165.74(16)	
Re(2)-Re(1)-Cl(11)	106.53(5)	Re(1)-Re(2)-Cl(21)	104.54(5)	
O(1)-Re(1)-Cl(12)	86.87(15)	O(2)-Re(2)-Cl(22)	84.91(16)	
Re(2)-Re(1)-Cl(12)	114.60(5)	Re(1)-Re(2)-Cl(22)	117.28(5)	
Cl(11) - Re(1) - Cl(12)	87.21(7)	Cl(21) - Re(2) - Cl(22)	87.79(7)	
O(1) - Re(1) - P(1)	91.77(15)	O(2) - Re(2) - P(2)	94.41(15)	
Re(2) - Re(1) - P(1)	94.57(5)	Re(1)-Re(2)-P(2)	96.12(5)	
Cl(11) - Re(1) - P(1)	85.58(7)	Cl(21) - Re(2) - P(2)	84.97(7)	
Cl(12) - Re(1) - P(1)	150.80(7)	Cl(22) - Re(2) - P(2)	146.56(7)	
^a Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least				

significant digits.

structural relationship to the analogous PPh_3 complex 2.⁴ An ORTEP²⁰ representation of the structure of **3** is shown in Fig. 1 and important structural parameters are given in Table 2.

The structure of **3** resembles closely that of $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$. The Re–Re bond distance of 2.2166(4) Å is identical to that of 2.2165(7) Å in its PPh₃ analogue, while the Re–Cl, Re–O and Re–P distances fall in very similar ranges for these two complexes. The rotational geometry is close to being fully eclipsed, with values for the torsional angles O(1)–Re(1)–Re(2)–O(2), Cl(11)–Re(1)–Re(2)–Cl(21), P(1)–Re(1)–Re(2)–Cl(22) and Cl(12)–Re(1)–Re(2)–P(2) of 3.8(2), 3.2(1), 11.5(1) and 11.4(1)°, respectively.

The properties of **3** and **4** are very similar. The cyclic voltammograms of solutions of **4** in 0.1 M Bu^a₄NPF₆-CH₂Cl₂ reveal a one-electron oxidation at $E_{1/2} = +0.47$ V and a oneelectron reduction at $E_{1/2} = -0.67$ V vs. Ag–AgCl; these processes have ΔE_p values ($E_{p,a} - E_{p,c}$) of 60–65 mV at v = 200mV s⁻¹. This behavior resembles closely the CVs of **3**³ and its PPh₃ and PPh₂py analogues.⁴ Like these paramagnetic [Re₂]⁵⁺ complexes, **4** shows only very broadened peaks in its ¹H NMR spectrum and no resonances in its ³¹P NMR spectrum.

When 2 is reacted with phosphines that are less sterically demanding than PBz₃ and P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃, we observed behavior different than just substitution of the PPh₃ ligands of 2. With PMePh₂, which has a similar basicity to P(C₆H₄-OMe-*p*)₃ but possesses a smaller cone angle (136 *vs.* 145°), a separable mixture of Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PMePh₂)₂ 5 and Re₂Cl₄(PMePh₂)₄ 6 was obtained {both complexes were unambiguously identified by their cyclic voltammetric properties) [Scheme 1(b)]}. Solutions of the red paramagnetic



Fig. 2 ORTEP²⁰ representation of the structure of *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ 8. Only one-half of the orientational disorder involving the *trans* O and Cl ligands [O(1) and Cl(1)] is shown. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 3 Important bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for mer-trans-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ 8^{a}

	1 (22)(1 ()	D (110)	
Re-O(1)	1.699(14)	Re-Cl(2)	2.3822(16)
Re–Cl(1)	2.282(4)	Re-P(1)	2.5322(14)
O(1)–Re–Cl(1)	179.6(5)	Cl(1)-Re-Cl(2)	90.76(12)
O(1)-Re- $Cl(2)$	91.0(5)	P(1)-Re- $Cl(1)$	88.03(9)
O(1)-Re- $Cl(2)$	89.0(5)	P(1)-Re- $O(1)$	91.7(5)
Cl(1)-Re- $Cl(2)$	89.24(12)	P(1)-Re- $Cl(2)$	90.68(5)

" Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.

complex 5 in 0.1 M Buⁿ₄NPF₆-CH₂Cl₂ showed reversible processes at $E_{1/2}(ox) = +0.48$ V and $E_{1/2}(red) = -0.65$ V vs. Ag-AgCl in the CV, with ΔE_p values of 70 mV at v = 200 mV s⁻¹. These characteristics are similar to those displayed in the CVs of **3** and **4** (*vide supra*). The dirhenium(II) complex **6** is a known compound that has been characterized previously.⁷ The addition of an excess of PMePh₂ to a dichloromethane solution of 5 led to mixtures of 5 and 6 as monitored by CV. Accordingly, it is reasonable to conclude that 5 is the intermediate in the conversion of 2 to 6. For the reaction of 2 with the phosphine PMe₂Ph, which is more basic than PMePh₂ and has a significantly smaller cone angle, the dirhenium(III,II) intermediate Re2(µ-O2CMe)Cl4(PMe2Ph)2 could not be isolated; instead, the dirhenium(II) complex Re₂Cl₄(PMe₂Ph)₄ 7 was obtained in almost quantitative yield [Scheme 1(c)]. This behavior reflects the greater propensity of the $[Re_2]^{5+}$ core to be reduced to [Re₂]⁴⁺ in the presence of PMe₂Ph, a phosphine which is also less sterically demanding than the phosphines which afford stable $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-}O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PR}_3)_2$ compounds.

The reaction of **2** with PCy₃, which is the most basic of the phosphines we studied and also possesses the largest cone angle (170°), resulted in the substitution of the PPh₃ ligands and also the cleavage of the Re–Re multiple bond. This led to the product *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PCy₃)₂ **8** (*ca*. 70% yield) along with some unidentified Re-containing species [Scheme 1(d)]. The source of oxygen is presumably small amounts of adventitious O₂ and/or H₂O. Complex **8** possesses a v(Re=O) mode in its IR spectrum (KBr disc) at 971 cm⁻¹ and a singlet in its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (recorded in CDCl₃) at δ –26.0. The CV of **8** recorded on a solution in 0.1 M Bun₄NPF₆-CH₂Cl₂ shows irreversible processes at $E_{p,e} = +1.39$ V and $E_{p,a} = -0.97$ vs. Ag–AgCl with v = 200 mV s⁻¹. The identity of this green complex was confirmed by a single crystal X-ray structure determination. The ORTEP²⁰ representation of **8** is shown in Fig. 2 and important structural parameters are listed in Table 3.

As described in the Experimental section, the *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PCy_{3})₂ molecule shows an orientational disorder in the

crystal similar to that found in *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PMe₃)₂,¹⁷ so that there are two sets of *trans* O and Cl half atoms; only one of these sets is shown in Fig. 2. In spite of this disorder the pairs of disordered atoms O(1)/Cl(1) can be resolved; the Re–O distance in **8** [1.699(14) Å] is similar to that observed in *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PPh₃)₂²¹ and *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PEt₂Ph)₂.²²

The substitutional lability of the PPh₃ ligands of **2** towards bridging bidentate ligands is illustrated by the high yield conversion of **2** (\ge 90% yield) to *trans*-Re₂(µ-O₂CMe)Cl₄(µ-PP)₂, where PP = dppm (**9**), dppa (**10**) or dppE (**11**). Compounds **9** and **10** have been prepared previously,^{9,10} but the dppE analogue **11** is new. The CV of a solution of **11** in 0.1 M Buⁿ₄-NPF₆-CH₂Cl₂ shows processes with $E_{1/2}(\text{ox}) = +0.45$ V ($\Delta E_p = 60$ mV) and $E_{p,c} = -0.54$ V vs. Ag–AgCl, which are very similar to the CVs reported for **9** and **10**.^{9,10} An alternative synthesis of **11**, utilizing the reaction between *cis*-Re₂(µ-O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ **1** and dppE, was unsuccessful since this afforded Re₂Cl₄(µ-dppE)₂.²³ However, an analogous strategy works well for the preparation of **9** and **10**.^{9,10}

The conformational requirements of two bridging Ph₂P-CH₂CH₂PPh₂ (dppe) ligands in a [Re(µ-dppe)₂Re] unit favors a staggered rotational geometry,²⁴ thereby destabilizing a complex such as $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\mu-\text{dppe})_2$, in which the acetatecontaining [Re(µ-O₂CMe)Re] unit should be approximately planar. Accordingly, in the reaction between 2 and dppe, although both PPh₃ ligands are displaced, the reaction is quite complicated and a mixture of the triply bonded dirhenium(II) complex α -Re₂Cl₄(dppe)₂ 12, which contains *chelating* dppe ligands,¹¹ and trans-[ReO₂(dppe)₂]Cl 13 was obtained. The formation of 12 derives formally from the reductive elimination of MeCO₂ from the unstable intermediate $\{Re_2(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4 (\mu$ -dppe)₂. Compound 13 probably arises from a competing disruption of the Re-Re multiple bond and the reaction of a coordinatively unsaturated intermediate with adventitious O₂. However, an alternative oxygen source, such as the acetate ligands, cannot be ruled out. Compound 12 does not convert to 13 under our experimental conditions. The structure of the $[\text{ReO}_2(\text{dppe})_2]^+$ cation present in 13 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography, but since this structure is essentially identical to that present in the previously characterized [ReO₄]⁻, [I]⁻ and $[PF_6]^-$ salts of this cation, ^{25,26} further discussion of the structure is unnecessary (see supplementary material for further details).

In addition to the phosphine ligand substitution reactions of **2** that lead to complexes of the types $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PR}_3)_2$ and $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PP})_2$ (*vide supra*), and reactions (with PCy₃ and dppe) which can result in disruption of the Re–Re multiple bond, a third type of reaction was encountered in the present study. This involved non-redox transformations of the type $[\text{Re}_2]^{6+} \longrightarrow [\text{Re}_4]^{12+}$.

We had described previously how the $[Re_2]^{5+}$ core complex $\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-O}_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PBz}_3)_2$ 3 can be prepared both through the reduction of cis-Re₂(O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ 1 by PBz₃ (see ref. 3) and the non-redox substitution reaction of 2 with PBz₃ (this work). While $P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3$ reacts with 2 to give $\operatorname{Re}_{2}(\mu-O_{2}CMe)Cl_{4}[P(C_{6}H_{4}OMe-p)_{3}]_{2}$ 4, which is structurally analogous to 3, the reaction of this phosphine with 1 in refluxing methanol affords the novel tetranuclear complex $Re_4(\mu-O)_4Cl_4[P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3]_4$ 14. This reaction course not only differs from that between 1 and PBz₃ (vide supra) but also from those involving the triaryl phosphines PAr_3 (Ar = Ph, C_6H_4Me-p , C_6H_4Me-m and C_6H_4Cl-p) all of which produce the quadruply bonded 'mixed-valence' dirhenium (IV,II) complexes $Cl_2(MeO)_2ReReCl_2(PAr_3)_2$ under these same conditions.^{2,3} For reasons that are not entirely clear, the phosphine $P(C_6H_4O$ -Me-p)₃ is unique in affording this unusual tetranuclear rhenium cluster by this procedure. Complex 14 is the first symmetrical tetrarheniumcyclodiyne type cluster containing phosphine ligands. While the dimerization of quadruply bonded dimetal complexes is well established in Mo and W chemistry,^{27,28} it has rarely been encountered in Re chemistry.^{19,29}



Fig. 3 ORTEP²⁰ representation of the structure of the tetranuclear cluster $\text{Re}_4(\mu\text{-O})_4\text{Cl}_4[P(C_6H_4\text{OMe-}p)_3]_4$ in crystals of 14·2MeOH. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level except for the phenyl group atoms of the phosphine ligands which are circles of arbitrary radii. Unlabeled atoms are related to the labeled atoms by an inversion center. The four Re atoms shown are those of the primary form of a disorder in which a secondary and very minor form, sharing the same ligand atoms, is in a plane orthogonal to the primary form.



Fig. 4 ORTEP²⁰ representation of the structure of the $[Re_2Cl_8]^{2-}$ anion present in the crystals of **15** showing one of the two crystallographically independent anions with a two-fold orientational disorder of the Re–Re unit [Re(1a)/Re(2a) and Re(1b)/Re(2b)]. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Compound 14 could be reproducibly obtained in yields that approached 50%, so that a considerable quantity of Re was originally not accounted for.⁵ However, work-up of the reaction filtrate yielded the phosphonium salt $[(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3-PMe]_2Re_2Cl_8$ 15 in isolated yields exceeding 40%, thereby accounting for most of the Re. Accordingly, it is reasonable to propose the following reaction stoichiometry (where $Ar = C_6H_4OMe-p$):

$$3 cis-Re_{2}(\mu-O_{2}CMe)_{2}Cl_{4}(H_{2}O)_{2} + 6 PAr_{3} + 4MeOH$$

$$1$$

$$\longrightarrow Re_{4}(\mu-O)_{4}Cl_{4}(PAr_{3})_{4} + (Ar_{3}PMe)_{2}Re_{2}Cl_{8} + 14$$

$$15$$

$$2MeCO_{2}Me + 8H_{2}O$$



Fig. 5 ORTEP²⁰ representation of one of the two crystallographically independent $[(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3PMe]^+$ cations present in the crystals of **15**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 4Important bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°) for Re4-
 $(\mu$ -O)4Cl4[P(C6H4OMe-p)3]4·2MeOH 14^{a,b}

Re(1)-Re(2)	2.2726(5)	Re(2) - P(2)	2.524(2)
Re(1)-Re(2)'	2.5388(5)	Re(1) - O(1)	1.943(5)
Re(1)-Cl(1)	2.350(2)	Re(1) - O(2)	1.995(5)
Re(2)–Cl(2)	2.359(2)	Re(2) - O(1)	1.960(5)
Re(1) - P(1)	2.521(2)	Re(2)–O(2)	1.988(5)
$\operatorname{Re}(1)$ - $\operatorname{Re}(2)$ - $\operatorname{Re}(1)'$	90.099(16)	O(1) - Re(2) - Cl(2)	146.77(17)
Re(2)' - Re(1) - Re(2)	89.901(16)	O(2) - Re(2) - Cl(2)	87.30(15)
Re(2)-Re(1)-O(1)	99.50(17)	O(1)-Re(2)-P(2)	77.66(15)
Re(2)-Re(1)-O(2)	101.77(17)	O(2) - Re(2) - P(2)	152.26(18)
O(1)-Re(1)-Cl(1)	146.48(17)	Cl(2)-Re(2)-P(2)	84.41(7)
O(2)-Re(1)-Cl(1)	87.12(16)	O(1)-Re(1)-O(2)	96.0(2)
O(1) - Re(1) - P(1)	77.08(15)	O(1)-Re(2)-O(2)	95.7(2)
O(2) - Re(1) - P(1)	151.08(18)	Re(1)-O(1)-Re(2)'	81.15(19)
Cl(1)-Re(1)-P(1)	84.16(7)	Re(1)-O(2)-Re(2)'	79.19(19)

^{*a*} Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits. ^{*b*} The four Re atoms shown in Fig. 4 are those of the primary form of a disorder in which a secondary form [atoms Re(3) and Re(4)] appears to share the same ligand atoms and is in a plane approximately orthogonal to the primary form. The distances Re(3)–Re(4) and Re(3)–Re(4)' are 2.275(8) and 2.528(8) Å, respectively, and the major and minor forms of this disorder have occupancies of 94.9 and 5.1%, respectively.

The origin of the oxygen that is incorporated into the tetranuclear cluster **14** is most likely the methanol solvent, based on the observations by Cotton and co-workers 18,29 who isolated the mixed oxide/methoxide species $[\text{Re}_4(\mu\text{-O})_2(\mu\text{-OMe})_2\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$ and $[\text{Re}_4(\mu\text{-O})_2(\mu\text{-OMe})(\mu\text{-Cl})\text{Cl}_8]^{2-}$.

The structures of 14 and 15 were confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The ORTEP²⁰ representations of the Re containing species are given in Figs. 3 and 4, and a representation of the structure of the cation that is present in 15 is shown in Fig. 5. Important bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 4 and 5. The structure of the tetranuclear complex 14 (Fig. 3) consists of a rectangular cluster of metal atoms with two Re=Re bonds linked by two Re-Re single bonds, each of which is bridged by a pair of O^{2-} ligands. The bare Re_4 cluster arises formally from the [2 + 2] cycloaddition of two Re \equiv Re units (originating from two molecules of 1) by loss of their δ components. The cluster possesses a crystallographic inversion center and Re=Re and Re-Re bond distances of 2.2726(5) and 2.5388(5) Å (Table 4), respectively, which are very similar in magnitude to the analogous distances encountered in salts of the $[Re_4(\mu-O)_2($ OMe)₂Cl₈]²⁻, [Re₄(μ -O)₂(μ -OMe)(μ -Cl)Cl₈]²⁻ and [Re₄(μ -O)₂(μ - Cl_2Cl_8 ²⁻ anions that have been reported previously by Cotton and co-workers.^{18,29} The structural identification of 14 is noteworthy in that it is the first neutral tetrarheniumcyclodiyne type cluster possessing the $[\text{Re}_4(\mu-\text{O})_4]^{4+}$ core, and the first to contain phosphine ligands. This cluster represents an extreme in the chemistry of metal rectangles that range from those in which there are four separate ligand-bridged metal centers³² to those with pairs of ligand-bridged multiply bonded M₂ units which may or may not be linked by M-M bonds within the rectangular cluster.33

For 15, the presence of the $[(C_6H_4OMe_p)_3PMe]^+$ cation was confirmed, and the $[Re_2Cl_8]^{2-}$ anion was found to have a twofold orientational disorder of the Re–Re unit within the cube of eight Cl ligands. Two sets of crystallographically independent cations and anions were present so that the complete set of four Re₂ units, labeled Re(1a)/Re(2a), Re(1b)/Re(2b), Re(3a)/Re(4a) and Re(3b)/Re(4b), have occupancies of 47.3, 2.7, 43.2 and 6.8%, respectively. The Re–Re distances for the two major pairs [Re(1a)/Re(2a) and Re(3a)/Re(4a)] are 2.2231(6) and 2.2157(7) Å respectively; these values are typical for the quadruply bonded $[Re_2Cl_8]^{2-}$ anion,³⁰ and are similar to those encountered in other salts possessing this type of crystallo-

Table 5 Important bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°) for $[(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3PMe]_2Re_2Cl_8$ 15^{*a,b*}

Re(1A)–Re(2A)	2.2231(6)	Re(2A)–Cl(22)	2.326(3)	Re(2B)-Cl(11)	2.339(8)	P(1)-C(131)	1.800(11)
Re(1A)-Cl(11)	2.319(3)	Re(2A)-Cl(24)	2.330(3)	Re(2B)-Cl(14)	2.345(8)	P(1) - C(141)	$1.808(10)^{c}$
Re(1A)-Cl(12)	2.320(3)	Re(1B) - Re(2B)	2.207(11)	Re(2B)-Cl(23)	2.351(8)	P(2) - C(211)	1.770(11)
Re(1A)-Cl(14)	2.325(3)	Re(1B)-Cl(12)	2.314(9)	Re(2B)-Cl(22)	2.383(9)	P(2) - C(221)	1.778(13)
Re(1A)-Cl(13)	2.339(3)	Re(1B)-Cl(24)	2.332(7)	P(1) - C(121)	1.784(12)	P(2) - C(231)	1.783(12)
Re(2A)-Cl(21)	2.324(3)	Re(1B)-Cl(13)	2.388(7)	P(1) - C(111)	1.784(11)	P(2) - C(241)	$1.783(10)^{c}$
Re(2A)-Cl(23)	2.326(3)	Re(1B)-Cl(21)	2.397(8)				
Re(2A) - Re(1A) - Cl(11)	104 17(8)	Cl(23) = Re(2A) = Cl(22)	86 74(11)	Re(1B) - Re(2B) - Cl(11)	101 4(4)	Re(2A) = Cl(22) = Re(2B)	39 3(2)
Re(2A) - Re(1A) - Cl(12)	103.62(7)	Re(1A) - Re(2A) - Cl(24)	102.33(7)	Re(1B) - Re(2B) - Cl(14)	101.6(3)	Re(2A)-Cl(23)-Re(2B)	39 56(19)
Cl(11) - Re(1A) - Cl(12)	87.69(11)	Cl(21) - Re(2A) - Cl(24)	86.79(10)	Cl(11) - Re(2B) - Cl(14)	86.1(3)	Re(2A)-Cl(24)-Re(1B)	39.3(2)
Re(2A)-Re(1A)-Cl(14)	102.65(7)	Cl(23) - Re(2A) - Cl(24)	86.73(10)	Re(1B)-Re(2B)-Cl(23)	101.7(4)	C(121) - P(1) - C(111)	111.7(5)
Cl(11) - Re(1A) - Cl(14)	87.04(10)	Cl(22) - Re(2A) - Cl(24)	153.50(10)	Cl(11)-Re(2B)-Cl(23)	91.2(3)	C(121) - P(1) - C(131)	107.9(5)
Cl(12) - Re(1A) - Cl(14)	153.71(10)	Re(2B) - Re(1B) - Cl(12)	103.6(4)	Cl(14) - Re(2B) - Cl(23)	156.7(4)	C(111) - P(1) - C(131)	108.6(5)
Re(2A)- $Re(1A)$ - $Cl(13)$	104.18(7)	Re(2B) - Re(1B) - Cl(24)	102.7(4)	Re(1B)-Re(2B)-Cl(22)	101.8(4)	C(121) - P(1) - C(141)	108.1(6)
Cl(11) - Re(1A) - Cl(13)	151.64(10)	Cl(12) - Re(1B) - Cl(24)	89.4(3)	Cl(11) - Re(2B) - Cl(22)	156.8(4)	C(111) - P(1) - C(141)	110.3(5)
Cl(12) - Re(1A) - Cl(13)	85.87(11)	Re(2B) - Re(1B) - Cl(13)	102.6(4)	Cl(14) - Re(2B) - Cl(22)	88.6(3)	C(131) - P(1) - C(141)	110.3(5)
Cl(14)-Re(1A)-Cl(13)	86.64(10)	Cl(12)-Re(1B)-Cl(13)	84.9(3)	Cl(23)-Re(2B)-Cl(22)	84.9(3)	C(211)-P(2)-C(221)	109.6(5)
Re(1A)-Re(2A)-Cl(21)	104.20(7)	Cl(24)-Re(1B)-Cl(13)	154.6(4)	Re(1A)-Cl(11)-Re(2B)	39.5(2)	C(211)–P(2)–C(231)	112.9(6)
Re(1A)-Re(2A)-Cl(23)	103.90(7)	Re(2B)- $Re(1B)$ - $Cl(21)$	102.2(4)	Re(1B)-Cl(12)-Re(1A)	38.86(19)	C(221)–P(2)–C(231)	107.2(5)
Cl(21)–Re(2A)–Cl(23)	151.89(10)	Cl(12)-Re(1B)-Cl(21)	154.2(4)	Re(1A)-Cl(13)-Re(1B)	38.05(19)	C(211)–P(2)–C(241)	108.5(5)
Re(1A)-Re(2A)-Cl(22)	104.17(7)	Cl(24)-Re(1B)-Cl(21)	85.1(3)	Re(1A)-Cl(14)-Re(2B)	39.4(2)	C(221)–P(2)–C(241)	109.8(6)
Cl(21)-Re(2A)-Cl(22)	86.97(10)	Cl(13)-Re(1B)-Cl(21)	89.4(3)	Re(2A)-Cl(21)-Re(1B)	38.73(19)	C(231)–P(2)–C(241)	108.9(5)

^{*a*} Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits. ^{*b*} Data are given for one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of **15**. The pairs Re(1A)/Re(2A) and Re(1B)/Re(2B) are the two disordered Re_2 units represented in Fig. 5. Full data are available as supplementary material. ^{*c*} Distance involving the methyl carbon atom of the phosphonium cation.

graphic disorder.^{30,31} The spectroscopic and electrochemical properties of **15** confirmed the purity of the bulk product. The ¹H NMR spectral resonances for the $[(C_6H_4OMe_-p)_3PMe]^+$ cation (recorded in CD₂Cl₂) are at δ +7.7–7.5(m) and δ +7.2–7.1(m) (12H, C₆H₄), δ +3.92(s) (9H, OMe) and δ +2.75(d) (3H, Me), while a singlet at δ +19.1 is observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (recorded in CD₂Cl₂). The cyclic voltammetric properties of a solution of **15** in 0.1 M Buⁿ₄NPF₆–CH₂Cl₂ (recorded with a scan rate of 200 mV s⁻¹ at a Pt-bead electrode) show reversible one-electron processes at $E_{1/2}(\text{cox}) = +1.21$ V and $E_{1/2}(\text{red}) = -0.87$ V vs. Ag–AgCl that are characteristic of the [Re₂Cl₈]^{2–} anion.¹⁹

While the tetrarhenium complex 14 was the only tetranuclear cluster we isolated from the reactions of cis-Re₂(µ-O₂CMe)₂-Cl₄(H₂O)₂ with triaryl phosphines in methanol, two other derivatives of the type $Re_4(\mu-O)_4Cl_4(PR_3)_4$ were obtained by the use of other methods. The triphenylphosphine complex Re₄- $(\mu-O)_4Cl_4(PPh_3)_4$ 16 was obtained in low yield (*ca.* 20%) upon heating $\text{Re}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ in methanol in the presence of LiOH·2H₂O but the absence of added phosphine. The use of LiOH as a source of O^{2-} is known in the literature as, for example, in the synthesis of tetrahedral $[M_4(\mu_4-O)]^{n+1}$ clusters.³⁴ A similar procedure with the use of 4 {*i.e.* Re₂- $(\mu-O_2CMe)Cl_4[P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3]_2$ in place of 2 provided an alternative means of obtaining 14 but in a lower yield (26%). The substitutional lability of the $P(C_6H_4OMe-p)_3$ ligands of 14 was established by the conversion of this complex to Re₄(µ-O)₄Cl₄(PMe₂Ph)₄ 17 upon its treatment with an excess of PMe₂Ph.

The identities of 16 and 17 were established through a comparison of their electrochemical properties and far-IR spectra, but their poor solubility properties limited their full characterization. Furthermore, our inability to recrystallize these products is probably a factor in the poor C and H microanalytical data that were obtained. The crystallographically characterized complex 14 shows well defined NMR spectral properties (recorded in CD_2Cl_2), with multiplets at $\delta + 8.15, +7.58, +6.90$, +6.80, +6.33 and +6.22 for the C₆H₄ rings of the phosphine ligands, singlets at δ +3.87, +3.84 and +3.58 for the OMe substituents, and δ +3.42(s) for the lattice methanol. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 14 consists of a singlet at δ +13.6. The compounds 16 and 17 were not soluble enough to obtain satisfactory NMR spectra. However, the single scan CVs of dilute solutions of 14, 16 and 17 in 0.1 M Buⁿ₄NPF₆-CH₂Cl₂ (Ptbead electrode, scan rate 200 mV s⁻¹) were very similar, with a single irreversible oxidation at $E_{p,a} = +0.98$, +1.00 and +1.40 V vs. Ag/AgCl, respectively, in the potential range +1.6 to -1.5 V. Equally diagnostic were their low-frequency IR spectra (Nujol mulls 550-250 cm⁻¹), which were remarkably similar with bands at 339m, 328ms and 277mw cm⁻¹ for 16, and 340m, 327s and 277mw cm^{-1} for 17, which are in the region expected for v(Re-Cl) and other v(M-L) vibrations.

Concluding remarks

While PPh₃ is known to react with the dirhenium(III) synthon cis-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)₂Cl₄(H₂O)₂ **1** to afford the paramagnetic dirhenium(III,II) complex Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(PPh₃)₂ **2**,⁴ the reaction of **1** with the triarylphosphine P(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃ in methanol affords the novel tetranuclear complex Re₄(μ -O)₄-Cl₄[P(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃]₄ **14**, along with the dirhenium(III) salt [(C₆H₄OMe-p)₃PMe]₂Re₂Cl₈. While no other phosphine-containing tetranuclear complexes of this type can be synthesized by this particular route,³ the clusters Re₄(μ -O)₄-Cl₄(PPh₃)₄ **15** and Re₄(μ -O)₄Cl₄(PMe₂Ph)₄ **16** have been obtained by the alternative methods of reacting **2** with LiOH, and the substitution of the phosphine ligands in **14** by PMe₂Ph.

The non-redox substitutional lability of the PPh₃ ligands of $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ 2 towards both monodentate and bidentate phosphines has been demonstrated for PBz₃,

P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃, PMePh₂, Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂, Ph₂PNHPPh₂ and Ph₂PC(=CH₂)PPh₂; these reactions afford complexes of the types $\text{Re}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PR}_3)_2$ [PR₃ = PBz₃, P(C₆H₄OMe-*p*)₃ or PMePh₂] and *trans*-Re₂(μ -O₂CMe)Cl₄(μ -PP)₂ (PP = bridging bidentate phosphine), generally in very high yield. The reaction of 2 with PMePh₂ is complicated by a redox reaction which produces a considerable quantity of the dirhenium(II) complex Re₂Cl₄(PMePh₂)₄, while the more basic and least sterically demanding phosphine PMe2Ph gives exclusively Re2Cl4(P- $Me_2Ph)_4$. With the use of certain phosphines, specifically PCy₃ and Ph2PCH 2CH2Ph2, which are either very sterically demanding or prefer a chelating coordination mode to Re, the Re-Re multiple bond is cleaved to produce mononuclear species. For PCy_3 , the complex *mer-trans*-ReOCl₃(PCy_3)₂ is formed, whereas $Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2$ gives a mixture of dinuclear α -Re₂Cl₄(dppe)₂ (chelating phosphine, Re=Re bond) and trans-[ReO₂ (dppe)₂]Cl.

Future studies are now being directed at examining the carboxylate substitution chemistry of $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\text{PR}_3)_2$, *trans*- $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})\text{Cl}_4(\mu-\text{dppm})_2$ and *cis*- $\text{Re}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CMe})_2\text{Cl}_2$ - $(\mu-\text{dppm})_2$,⁹ a strategy which we have found can be used to synthesize [Re ₂]_n (*n* = 2, 3 or 4) clusters.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the John A. Leighty Endowment Fund for support of this work.

References

- 1 R. A. Walton, J. Cluster Sci., 1994, 5, 173.
- 2 (a) A. R. Chakravarty, F. A. Cotton, A. R. Cutler, S. M. Tetrick and R. A. Walton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 4795; (b) A. R. Chakravarty, F. A. Cotton, A. R. Cutler and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 3619.
- 3 S. S. Lau, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, in press.
- 4 A. R. Cutler, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 3811.
- 5 S. S. Lau, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 2273.
- 6 W. Wu, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 5810.
- 7 K. R. Dunbar and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 1985, 24, 5.
- 8 J. R. Ebner and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 1987.
- 9 A. R. Cutler, D. R. Derringer, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, **110**, 5024.
- 10 D. R. Derringer, P. E. Fanwick, J. Moran and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 1384.
- 11 M. Bakir, F. A. Cotton, L. R. Falvello, K. Vidyasagar and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, 27, 2460.
- 12 P. T. Beurskens, G. Admirall, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, S. Garcia-Granda, R. O. Gould, J. M. M. Smits and C. Smykalla, The DIRDIF92 Program System, Technical Report, Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.
- 13 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. Cascarano, C. Giacorazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. Moliterni, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1999, 32, 115.
- 14 Z. Otwinowski and W. Minor, Methods Enzymol., 1996, 276, 307.
- 15 A. L. Spek, PLATON, Molecular Graphics Program, University of Ultrecht, The Netherlands, 1997.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97. A Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Gottingen, Germany, 1997.
- 17 A. L. Ondracek, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **267**, 123.
- 18 J. D. Chen and F. A. Cotton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5857.
- 19 F. A. Cotton and R. A. Walton, *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2nd edn., 1993.
- 20 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- 21 A. M. Lebuis and A. L. Beauchamp, Can. J. Chem., 1993, 71, 441.
- 22 V. S. Sergienko and M. A. Porai-Koshits, Koord. Khim., 1982, 8, 251.

- 23 S.-M. Kuang, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2000, 300-302, 434.
- 24 (a) F. A. Cotton, G. G. Stanley and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 2099; (b) F. A. Cotton, P. E. Fanwick, J. W. Fitch, H. D. Glicksman and R. A. Walton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 1752.
- 25 K. E. Meyer, D. R. Root, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 1992, 31, 3067.
- 26 C. Kremer, M. Rivero, E. Kremer, L.Suescun, A. W. Monbrú, R. Mariezcurrena, S. Dominguez, A. Mederos, S. Midollini and A. Castineiras, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1999, 294, 47.
 27 R. N. McGinnis, T. R. Ryan and R. E. McCarley, *J. Am. Chem.* 6, 1070–1070, 7000.
- Soc., 1978, 100, 7900.
- 28 Ref. 19, pp 554-558 and references cited therein.
- 29 F. A. Cotton and E. V. Dikarev, J. Cluster Sci., 1995, 6, 411.

- 30 F. A. Cotton and R. A. Walton, Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2nd edn., 1993, pp. 31-33 and references cited therein.
- 31 S. S. Lau, W. Wu, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, Polyhedron, 1997, **16**, 3649.
- 32 See for example: (a) J. A. Whiteford, C. V. Lu and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 2524; (b) K. D. Benkstein, J. T. Hupp and C. L. Stern, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 12982; (c) K. D. Benkstein, J. T. Hupp and C. L. Stern, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 5404; (d) S. M. Woessner, J. B. Helms, Y. Shen and B. P. Sullivan, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 5406.
- 33 F. A. Cotton, L. M. Daniels, I. Guimet, R. W. Henning, G. T. Jordon, IV, C. Lin, C. A. Murillo and A. J. Schultz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 12531.
- 34 F. A. Cotton, C. A. Murillo and J. Pascual, Inorg. Chem., 1999, 38, 2746.